#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA AIKEN DIVISION

CENTRAL MUTUAL INSURANCE	) Civil Action No. 1:21-cv-00469-JMC
COMPANY, AS SUBROGEE OF BRENDA	)
WYATT,	)
	)
Plaintiff,	)
	) THERMA-STOR LLC'S ANSWER TO
vs.	) CROSS-CLAIM OF DEFENDANT
	) RESIDEO TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
RESIDEO TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	)
THERMA-STOR, LLC, AND REGAL	)
BELOIT CORPORATION,	)
	)
Defendants.	)
	)

Defendant Therma-Stor, LLC ("Therma-Stor"), by its attorneys, Parker Poe Adams & Bernstein LLP, by Robert H. Jordan, and Axley Brynelson, LLP, by Brian C. Hough and Justin H. Lessner, hereby answers the Cross-Claim of Resideo Technologies, Inc. as follows:

#### **ANSWER**

- 1. Answering Paragraph 63 of the Cross-Claim of Cross-Claimant, Defendant Resideo, Therma-Stor LLC asserts that Paragraph 63 does not state an allegation to which a responsive pleading can be asserted. To the extent a responsive pleading is required, Therma-Stor denies the allegations.
- 2. Answering Paragraph 64 of the Cross-Claim of Cross-Claimant, Defendant Resideo, Therma-Stor LLC admits that it manufactured and supplied certain dehumidifiers for Honeywell.
- 3. Answering Paragraph 65 of the Cross-Claim of Cross-Claimant, Defendant Resideo, Therma-Stor LLC lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations, and therefore, they are denied.

- 4. Answering Paragraph 66 of the Cross-Claim of Cross-Claimant, Defendant Resideo, Therma-Stor LLC lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations, and therefore, they are denied.
- 5. Answering Paragraph 67 of the Cross-Claim of Cross-Claimant, Defendant Resideo, Therma-Stor LLC denies the allegations.
- 6. Answering Paragraph 68 of the Cross-Claim of Cross-Claimant, Defendant Resideo, Therma-Stor LLC lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations, and therefore, they are denied.
- 7. Answering Paragraph 69 of the Cross-Claim of Cross-Claimant, Defendant Resideo, the allegations are legal conclusions to which no responsive pleading is required.
- 8. Answering Paragraph 70 of the Cross-Claim of Cross-Claimant, Defendant Resideo, Therma-Stor LLC denies the allegations.
- 9. Answering Paragraph 71 of the Cross-Claim of Cross-Claimant, Defendant Resideo, Therma-Stor LLC asserts that Paragraph 71 does not state an allegation to which a responsive pleading can be asserted. To the extent a responsive pleading is required, Therma-Stor denies the allegations.
- 10. Answering Paragraph 72 of the Cross-Claim of Cross-Claimant, Defendant Resideo, the allegations are legal conclusions to which no responsive pleading is required.
- 11. Answering Paragraph 73 of the Cross-Claim of Cross-Claimant, Defendant Resideo, Therma-Stor LLC denies the allegations.
- 12. Answering Paragraph 74 of the Cross-Claim of Cross-Claimant, Defendant Resideo, the allegation does not require a responsive pleading. To the extent a responsive pleading is required, Therma-Stor denies the allegations.

- 13. Answering Paragraph 75 of the Cross-Claim of Cross-Claimant, Defendant Resideo, Therma-Stor LLC denies the allegations.
- 14. Therma-Stor LLC incorporates by reference the affirmative defenses it asserted in its Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint and further asserts the following affirmative defenses in response to Resideo's cross-claims:

### For a First Affirmative Defense (Failure to State a Claim)

Resideo fails to allege facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action upon which relief can be granted and should be dismissed with prejudice pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

#### For A Second Affirmative Defense (Breach of Contract)

Resideo's claims are barred in whole or part based on Resideo's breach of the applicable contract(s).

#### For a Third Affirmative Defense (Unclean Hands)

Resideo's claims for equitable relief, such relief is barred in whole or part based on the unclean hands doctrine

### For a Fourth Affirmative Defense (Waiver, Estoppel, Laches)

Resideo's claims are barred in whole or part based on the doctrines of waiver, estoppel or laches

# For a Fifth Affirmative Defense (Failure to Mitigate)

Resideo's claims are barred in whole or part based on Resideo's failure to mitigate its alleged damages.

### For a Sixth Affirmative Defense (Express terms of a contract)

Resideo's claims are barred in whole or part under the express terms of the applicable contract(s) and/or under the law of contract.

### For a Seventh Affirmative Defense (Ratification)

Resideo's claims are barred in whole or part based on the doctrine of ratification.

## For an Eight Affirmative Defense (Ripeness)

Resideo's equitable claims are barred in whole or part because they are not ripe.

## For an Ninth Affirmative Defense (Reservation to Amend)

As discovery in this case progresses, Therma-Stor reserves its right to amend this answer and affirmative defenses.

WHEREFORE, Therma-Stor LLC requests the Court dismiss the Cross-Claims and enter judgment in favor of Therma-Stor LLC, awarding it its costs and fees, along with such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

#### Respectfully submitted,

#### s/ Robert H. Jordan

Robert H. Jordan, Esq. (Fed. ID: 06986) Email: robertjordan@parkerpoe.com PARKER POE ADAMS & BERNSTEIN LLP 200 Meeting Street, Suite 301 Charleston, South Carolina 29401 Phone: 843-727-2650

and

Brian C. Hough (admitted pro hac)
WI State Bar No. 1025056
E-mail: bhough@axley.com
Justin H. Lessner (admitted pro hac)
WI State Bar No. 1064634
E-mail: jlessner@axley.com
AXLEY BRYNELSON, LLP
2 East Mifflin Street, Suite 200
Post Office Box 1767
Madison, WI 53701-1767
Phone: 608-257-5661

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT THERMA-STOR, LLC

April 19, 2021. Charleston, South Carolina